		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/634,232	SALO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kennedy Schaetzle	3766
All Participants:		Status of Application	: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) Kennedy Schaetzle.		(3)	
(2) <u>J. Kevin Parker</u> .		(4)	·
Date of Interview: <u>13 June 2007</u>		Time: <u>4:15 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 11			
Prior art documents discussed:			
N/A		·	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Proposed amendment to more accurately define the invention agreed to. See Examiner's Amendment.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Francisco (ODE Circo Augo)		/A = 1:= = N= D = = = 1-1:	va Cianatura if annoniata\
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant	Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)